

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/883,592	06/18/2001	Steven Elliott Connor	0383-1-1	1991		
7	590 09/24/2002					
Jason A. Bernstein			EXAMINER			
Embassy Row			DEPUMPO,	DANIEL G		
6600 Peachtree Dunwoody Road, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30328-1649			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3611			
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. **09/883,592**

Daniel G. DePumpo

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

3611

Connor



Office Action Summary

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.							
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau 	ply and will expire SIX	(6) MONT	THS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date	of this communication	n, even if	timely filed, may reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 18,</u>	2001						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This ac	ction is non-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>			is/are pending in the application.	i			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration	٥			
5) Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)			is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)			is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims 1-12		are sub	ject to restriction and/or election requireme	ent			
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	s: a D	approved by disapproved by the Exami	ine			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some* c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a))	•				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	•						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (P	TO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Pat	ent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/883,592

Art Unit:

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the **trailer** of the claimed invention:
 - I fig. 1
 - II fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,592

Art Unit:

2. Upon election of one of the above species, further election is required as set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the **hitch mechanism** of the claimed invention:

- A fig. 2
- B fig. 7
- C figs 8 and 9
- D figs. 10 and 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit:

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

September 23, 2002